



# ITRC METHODOLOGY STATUS REPORT POST-IMPLEMENTATION

---

*Quality Considerations for Munitions Response Projects*  
*UXO Team*  
*January 2011*  
*Guy Warren and Bill Harmon, Team Leaders*

---

## METHODOLOGY STATUS

### **Status of the Methodology**

Based on the feedback from several Internet-based training and munitions response (MR) conference participants, the MR community and regulators involved with MR projects have overwhelmingly accepted the methodology described in this document and, moreover, have shown a willingness to use it on MR projects. The methodology is not new or emerging; however implementation of the methodology is becoming standard practice or at least discussed by parties involved.

### **Evolution of the Methodology**

Issues regarding “quality” MR processes are common talk among regulators and the MR community. Users of this document are more apt to use quality tools such as Uniform Federal Policy for Quality Assurance Project Plans (UFP QAPP) endorsed by UXO Team through this document. Development by the U.S. Department of Defense (DOD) and the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) of a UFP QAPP specific to munitions and explosives of concern is a direct outcome attributable to the widespread use of the methodology promoted in this document.

## ITRC GUIDANCE STATUS

### **Condition of the Guidance**

The document is current with general principles of quality management and is applicable to DOD, EPA and MR contractor quality management plans. This document provides regulators with a basic understanding of quality principles and how they are applied to MR projects. The document assists regulators in understanding the need to define processes and quality requirements before a product is realized and how to achieve and document quality results using basic process planning and control tools.

### **Recommendation**

The UXO Team feels the guidance is still accurate. Therefore no recommendation for update is provided.